Introduction to Reinforcement Learning

Hien V. Nguyen

UNIVERSITY of HOUSTON ENGINEERING

What Is Reinforcement Learning?

- Learn optimal policy by interacting with the environment
- Interaction produces a sequence of (state, action, new state, reward)

9/17/2018 Deep RL for Medical Imaging 2

Why Reinforcement Learning?

- Sequential input and action
- Long dependency of reward and the past action

Markov Decision Processes

- An MDP is defined by:
	- A set of states $s \in S$
	- A set of actions $a \in A$
	- A transition function T(s, a, s')
		- Probability that a from s leads to s', i.e., P(s'| s, a)
		- Also called the model or the dynamics
	- A reward function R(s, a, s')
		- Sometimes just R(s) or R(s')
	- A start state
	- Maybe a terminal state
- Goal: Maximize sum of rewards

What is Markov about MDPs?

- "Markov" generally means that given the present state, the future and the past are independent
- For Markov decision processes, "Markov" means action outcomes depend only on the current state

$$
P(S_{t+1} = s'|S_t = s_t, A_t = a_t, S_{t-1} = s_{t-1}, A_{t-1}, \dots S_0 = s_0)
$$

Andrey Markov (1856-1922)

$$
P(S_{t+1} = s'|S_t = s_t, A_t = a_t)
$$

• This is just like search, where the successor function could only depend on the current state (not the history)

Policies

- In deterministic single-agent search problems, we wanted an optimal plan, or sequence of actions, from start to a goal
- For MDPs, we want an optimal policy π^* : S \rightarrow A
	- A policy π gives an action for each state
	- An optimal policy is one that maximizes expected utility if followed
	- An explicit policy defines a reflex agent

Optimal policy when $R(s, a, s') = -0.03$ for all non-terminals s

Optimal Policies

Source: [http://ai.berkeley.edu/l](http://ai.berkeley.edu/lecture_videos.html) ecture_videos.html

9/17/2018 Deep RL for Medical Imaging 19/17/2018 7

Example: Running

Example: Running

- A robot wants to runs far and quickly
- Three states: Cool, Warm, Overheated
- Two actions: *Slow*, *Fast*
- Going faster gets double reward

Utilities of Sequences

Utilities of Sequences

- What preferences should an agent have over reward sequences?
- More or less? $[1, 2, 2]$ or $[2, 3, 4]$
- Now or later? [0, 0, 1] or [1, 0, 0]

Discounting

- It's reasonable to maximize the sum of rewards
- It's also reasonable to prefer rewards now to rewards later
- One solution: values of rewards decay exponentially

Utilities

• Episodic task:

$$
U([r_0, r_1, r_2, \ldots]) = r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + \cdots
$$

• Continuous task:

$$
U([r_0, r_1, r_2, \ldots]) = r_0 + \gamma r_1 + \gamma^2 r_2 \cdots
$$

• Discounting: use $0 < \gamma < 1$

$$
U([r_0, \ldots r_\infty]) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t r_t \le R_{\max}/(1-\gamma)
$$

Recap: Defining MDPs

- Markov decision processes:
	- Set of states S
	- Start state s_0
	- Set of actions A
	- Transitions P(s' | s,a) (or T(s,a,s'))
	- Rewards $R(s,a,s')$ (and discount γ)
- MDP quantities so far:
	- Policy = Choice of action for each state
	- Utility = sum of (discounted) rewards

Solving MDPs

Optimal Quantities

■ The value (utility) of a state s:

 $V^*(s)$ = expected utility starting in s and acting optimally

The value (utility) of a q-state (s,a) :

 $Q^*(s,a)$ = expected utility starting out having taken action a from state s and (thereafter) acting optimally

■ The optimal policy:

 $\pi^*(s)$ = optimal action from state s

Optimal Value Function

\Box The value (utility) of a state s: $V^*(s)$ = expected utility starting in s and acting optimally

$$
V^*(s) = R(s, \pi^*(s), s') + \gamma R(s', \pi^*(s'), s'') + \dots
$$

= $R(s, \pi^*(s), s') + \gamma V^*(s')$
= $\max_a [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$

 \Box So far, only consider deterministic state transition. Change to probabilistic transition: same action can lead to different states

$$
V^*(s) = \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]
$$

Optimal q-state function

The value (utility) of a q-state (s,a) :

 $Q^*(s,a)$ = expected utility starting out having taken action a from state s and (thereafter) acting optimally

• Deterministic state transition

$$
Q^*(s, a) = R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')
$$

Probabilistic state transition

$$
Q^*(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]
$$

Relationship between value and q-state functions

• Recursive definition of value:

$$
Q^*(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]
$$

$$
V^*(s) = \max_{a'} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')
$$

$$
V^*(s) = \max_{a'} Q^*(s, a)
$$

Value Iteration

- Start with $V_0(s) = 0$: no time steps left means an expected reward sum of zero
- Given vector of $V_k(s)$ values, do one ply of expectimax from each state:

$$
V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s') \right]
$$

- Repeat until convergence
- Complexity of each iteration: $O(S^2A)$
- Theorem: will converge to unique optimal values
	- Basic idea: approximations get refined towards optimal values
	- Policy may converge long before values do

Example: Value Iteration

Computing Actions from Values

- Let's imagine we have the optimal values $V^*(s)$
- How should we act?
	- It's not obvious!
- We need to do a mini-expectimax (one step)

Source: http://ai.berkeley.edu/lecture_videos.html

$$
\pi^*(s) = \arg\max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]
$$

• This is called policy extraction, since it gets the policy implied by the values

Computing Actions from Q-Values

- Let's imagine we have the optimal q-values:
- How should we act?
	- Completely trivial to decide!

$$
\pi^*(s) = \arg\max_a Q^*(s, a)
$$

Source: http://ai.berkeley.edu/lecture_videos.html

• Important lesson: actions are easier to select from q-values than values!

Problems with Value Iteration

• Value iteration repeats the Bellman updates:

$$
V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s') \right]
$$

- Problem 1: It's slow $O(S^2A)$ per iteration
- Problem 2: The "max" at each state rarely changes
- Problem 3: The policy often converges long before the values

9/17/2018 Deep RL for Medical Imaging 25

Policy Evaluation

- Basic operation: compute the utility of a state s under a fixed (generally non-optimal) policy
- Define the utility of a state s, under a fixed policy π : $V^{\pi}(s)$ = expected total discounted rewards starting in s and following π
- Recursive relation (one-step look-ahead / Bellman equation):

$$
V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi(s), s') [R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')]
$$

Policy Evaluation

- How do we calculate the V's for a fixed policy π ?
- Idea 1: Turn recursive Bellman equations into updates (like value iteration)

$$
V_0^{\pi}(s) = 0
$$

$$
V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi(s), s') [R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s')
$$

 $\pi(s)$ s s, $\pi(s)$ $\sin(\sin s)$,s^t s **'**

- Efficiency: $O(S^2)$ per iteration
- Idea 2: Without the maxes, the Bellman equations are just a linear system
	- Solve with Matlab (or your favorite linear system solver)

Policy Iteration

- Evaluation: For fixed current policy π , find values with policy evaluation:
	- Iterate until values converge:

$$
V_{k+1}^{\pi_i}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi_i(s), s') \left[R(s, \pi_i(s), s') + \gamma V_k^{\pi_i}(s') \right]
$$

- Improvement: For fixed values, get a better policy using policy extraction
	- One-step look-ahead:

$$
\pi_{i+1}(s) = \arg\max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{\pi_i}(s') \right]
$$

Figure 4.3: Policy iteration (using iterative policy evaluation) for v_* . This algorithm has a subtle bug, in that it may never terminate if the policy continually switches between two or more policies that are equally good. The bug can be fixed by adding additional flags, but it makes the pseudocode so ugly that it is not worth it. :-)

9/17/2018 Deep RL for Medical Imaging 28

function

Comparison

- Both value iteration and policy iteration compute the same thing (all optimal values)
- In value iteration:
	- Every iteration updates both the values and (implicitly) the policy
	- We don't track the policy, but taking the max over actions implicitly recomputes it
- In policy iteration:
	- We do several passes that update utilities with fixed policy (each pass is fast because we consider only one action, not all of them)
	- After the policy is evaluated, a new policy is chosen (slow like a value iteration pass)
	- The new policy will be better (or we're done)
- Both are dynamic programs for solving MDPs

Reinforcement Learning

- Basic idea:
	- Receive feedback in the form of rewards
	- Agent's utility is defined by the reward function
	- Must (learn to) act so as to maximize expected rewards
	- All learning is based on observed samples of outcomes!

Reinforcement Learning

- Still assume a Markov decision process (MDP):
	- A set of states $s \in S$
	- A set of actions (per state) A
	- A model T(s,a,s')
	- A reward function R(s,a,s')
- Still looking for a policy $\pi(s)$

- New twist: don't know T or R
	- I.e. we don't know which states are good or what the actions do
	- Must actually try actions and states out to learn

Model-Based Learning

- Model-Based Idea:
	- Learn an approximate model based on experiences
	- Solve for values as if the learned model were correct
- Step 1: Learn empirical MDP model
	- Count outcomes s' for each s, a
	- Normalize to give an estimate of $\widehat{T}(s, a, s')$
	- Discover each $\widehat{R}(s, a, s')$ when we experience (s, a, s')
- Step 2: Solve the learned MDP
	- For example, use value iteration, as before

Example: Model-Based Learning

9/17/2018 Deep RL for Medical Imaging 33

Model Free Learning

- Model-Free Idea:
	- No need to learn state transition probability $\widehat{T}(s, a, s')$ and reward function $\widehat{R}(s, a, s')$
	- Figure out value functions directly from interaction with environment
- Basic Approach
	- Temporal difference learning

Why Not Use Policy Evaluation?

- Simplified Bellman updates calculate V for a fixed policy:
	- Each round, replace V with a one-step-look-ahead layer over V

$$
V_0^{\pi}(s) = 0
$$

$$
V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi(s), s') [R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s')]
$$

- This approach fully exploited the connections between the states
- Unfortunately, we need T and R to do it!
- Key question: how can we do this update to V without knowing T and R?
	- In other words, how to we take a weighted average without knowing the weights?

 s , $\mathsf{\hat{\pi}}(\mathsf{s})$,s $^{\prime}$

 $\pi(s)$

s, $\pi(s)$

s **'**

s

Model-Free Learning

Goal: Compute expected age of MICCAI attendees

Without P(A), instead collect samples $[a_1, a_2, ... a_N]$

Sample-Based Policy Evaluation

• We want to improve our estimate of V by computing these averages:

$$
V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi(s), s') [R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s')]
$$

• Idea: Take samples of outcomes s' (by doing the action!) and average

$$
sample_1 = R(s, \pi(s), s'_1) + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s'_1)
$$

\n
$$
sample_2 = R(s, \pi(s), s'_2) + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s'_2)
$$

\n...
\n
$$
sample_n = R(s, \pi(s), s'_n) + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s'_n)
$$

$$
V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} sample_{i}
$$

But we can't rewind time to get sample after sample from state s.

Temporal Difference Learning

Temporal Difference Learning

- Big idea: learn from every experience!
	- Update V(s) each time we experience a transition (s, a, s', r)
	- Likely outcomes s' will contribute updates more often
- Temporal difference learning of values
	- Policy still fixed, still doing evaluation!
	- Move values toward value of whatever successor occurs: running average

 $sample = R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')$ Sample of V(s): Update to V(s): $V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow (1-\alpha)V^{\pi}(s) + (\alpha) \text{sample}$ $V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow V^{\pi}(s) + \alpha(sample - V^{\pi}(s))$ Same update:

9/17/2018 Deep RL for Medical Imaging 39

Exponential Moving Average

- Exponential moving average
	- The running interpolation update: $\bar{x}_n = (1 - \alpha) \cdot \bar{x}_{n-1} + \alpha \cdot x_n$
	- Makes recent samples more important
	- Forgets about the past (distant past values were wrong anyway)
- Decreasing learning rate (alpha) can give converging averages

Example: Temporal Difference Learning

9/17/2018 Deep RL for Medical Imaging 41

Problems with TD Value Learning

- TD value leaning is a model-free way to do policy evaluation, mimicking Bellman updates with running sample averages
- However, if we want to turn values into a (new) policy, we're sunk:

 $\pi(s) = \argmax_a Q(s, a)$ $Q(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V(s')]$

- Idea: learn Q-values, not values
- Makes action selection model-free too!

Active Reinforcement Learning

- Full reinforcement learning: optimal policies (like value iteration)
	- You don't know the transitions $T(s,a,s')$
	- You don't know the rewards R(s,a,s')
	- You choose the actions now
	- Goal: learn the optimal policy / values
- In this case:
	- Learner makes choices!
	- Fundamental tradeoff: exploration vs. exploitation
	- This is NOT offline planning! You actually take actions in the world and find out what happens…

Detour: Q-Value Iteration

- Value iteration: find successive (depth-limited) values
	- Start with $V_0(s) = 0$, which we know is right
	- Given V_k , calculate the depth k+1 values for all states:

$$
V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s') \right]
$$

- But Q-values are more useful, so compute them instead
	- Start with $Q_0(s,a) = 0$, which we know is right
	- Given Q_k , calculate the depth k+1 q-values for all q-states:

$$
Q_{k+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s', a') \right]
$$

Q-Learning

• Q-Learning: sample-based Q-value iteration

$$
Q_{k+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s', a') \right]
$$

- Learn Q(s,a) values as you go
	- Receive a sample (s,a,s',r)
	- Consider your old estimate: $Q(s, a)$
	- Consider your new sample estimate:

 $sample = R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')$

• Incorporate the new estimate into a running average:

 $Q(s,a) \leftarrow (1-\alpha)Q(s,a) + (\alpha)$ [sample]

Source: http://ai.berkeley.edu/lecture_videos.html

Demo of Q table

9/17/2018 Deep RL for Medical Imaging 46

Q-Learning Properties

- Amazing result: Q-learning converges to optimal policy -- even if you're acting suboptimally!
- This is called off-policy learning
- Caveats:
	- You have to explore enough
	- You have to eventually make the learning rate small enough
	- ... but not decrease it too quickly
	- Basically, in the limit, it doesn't matter how you select actions (!)

The Story So Far: MDPs and RL

Unknown MDP: Model-Based Unknown MDP: Model-Free

9/17/2018 Deep RL for Medical Imaging 48

Approximating Values through Samples

• Policy Evaluation:

$$
V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi(s), s') [R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s')]
$$

• Value Iteration:

$$
V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s') \right]
$$

• Q-Value Iteration:

$$
Q_{k+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s', a') \right]
$$

9/17/2018
Deep RL for Medical Imaging

Exploration vs. Exploitation

How to Explore?

- Several schemes for forcing exploration
	- Simplest: random actions (ε-greedy)
		- Every time step, flip a coin
		- With (small) probability *ε*, act randomly
		- With (large) probability 1-ε, act on current policy
	- Problems with random actions?
		- You do eventually explore the space, but keep thrashing around once learning is done
		- One solution: lower ε over time
		- Another solution: exploration functions

THANK YOU

UNIVERSITY of HOUSTON ENGINEERING